

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

BONITA SHREVE, <i>et al.</i>)	
)	
<i>Plaintiffs,</i>)	
)	
v.)	Civil No.: 3:25-cv-214-SLH
)	
UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE, <i>et al.</i>)	
)	
<i>Defendants.</i>)	
)	

**PLAINTIFFS’ STATEMENT OF MATERIAL FACTS IN
SUPPORT OF THEIR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 56(B)(1), Plaintiffs Bonita Shreve, Gun Owners of America, Inc., and Gun Owners Foundation, by and through undersigned counsel, submit the following concise statement setting forth the undisputed and material facts essential for the Court to decide Plaintiffs’ Motion for Summary Judgment.

1. Plaintiff Bonita Shreve is an adult over the age of 21, a citizen of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, a citizen of the United States, a law-abiding person, and has no disqualification under state or federal law which would prohibit her from possessing a firearm. APP.002 (Complaint, ECF No. 1, ¶ 14); APP.008 (Declaration of Bonita Shreve, ECF No. 1-2 (“Shreve Declaration”) ¶¶ 2-3).

2. Shreve resides in Altoona, Pennsylvania, within this district. APP.008 (Shreve Declaration ¶ 2).

3. Shreve is a gun owner and a member of Plaintiff Gun Owners of America, Inc. APP.008 (Shreve Declaration ¶¶ 2-3).

4. Shreve owns a Bersa Thunder .380 ACP handgun, which she wishes to give as a gift to her father, who lives approximately three hours away in Palmyra, Pennsylvania. APP.008-APP.009 (Shreve Declaration ¶¶ 4-6).

5. Shreve's father is a law-abiding person who is eligible to acquire and possess firearms under state and federal law. APP.008-APP.009 (Shreve Declaration ¶¶ 4-5).

6. Neither Pennsylvania nor federal law requires Shreve's father to submit to a background check in order for him to receive Shreve's handgun. He may simply take possession of it in a private, intrafamilial transfer occurring within the same state. *See* 18 Pa.C.S. § 6111(c); 18 U.S.C. § 922.

7. Shreve currently has no plans to drive from Altoona to Palmyra to visit her parents and to give her father her handgun in person. This trip is significant to Shreve, as it would require her to pay more than \$70 in Pennsylvania Turnpike tolls and approximately \$50 in gas, in addition to hotel fees, as her parents do not have room in their home for Shreve to stay. APP.009 (Shreve Declaration ¶ 6).

8. Rather than make this costly trip simply to give her father her handgun, Shreve wishes to ship it to him using the U.S. Postal Service. Shreve estimates mailing her handgun would be the quickest and cheapest option to effectuate her desired transfer, as her local post office is only three minutes from her home. APP.009 (Shreve Declaration ¶ 7).

9. Other direct shipment options are not available. For example, Shreve understands that private common carriers such as UPS and FedEx do not allow ordinary persons without Federal Firearms Licenses to ship firearms using their services. APP.009 (Shreve Declaration ¶ 8).

10. Neither Shreve nor her father holds a Federal Firearms License. *See* APP_010 (Shreve Declaration ¶ 10).

11. Shreve estimates that the only remaining option to give her father her handgun, a transfer through a licensed dealer, would be more costly and time-consuming than simply mailing it. For example, Shreve would have to pay a local Altoona dealer between \$30 and \$50 to ship her handgun to a dealer near Palmyra, only for her father to pay a similar amount in transfer fees to receive the handgun. Shreve estimates it would take an hour each for her and father to complete this transaction, respectively. APP.009 (Shreve Declaration ¶ 9).

12. Accordingly, Shreve wishes to ship her handgun to her father using the U.S. Postal Service. She would do so immediately, within a few days, if she were able. APP.010 (Shreve Declaration ¶ 10).

13. However, federal law and U.S. Postal Service regulations prohibit Shreve from mailing her handgun to her father. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 1715; U.S. Postal Service Publication 52.

14. Neither Shreve nor her father meets the limited exceptions listed in 18 U.S.C. § 1715. Neither is an “officer[] of the Army, Navy, Air Force, Coast Guard, Marine Corps, Space Force, or Organized Reserve Corps,” an “officer[] of the National Guard or Militia of a State, Territory, Commonwealth, Possession, or District,” an “officer[] of the United States or of a State, Territory, Commonwealth, Possession, or District whose official duty is to serve warrants of arrest or commitments,” an “employee[] of the Postal Service,” an “officer[] [or] employee[] of enforcement agencies of the United States,” a “watchm[a]n engaged in guarding the property of the United States, a State, Territory, Commonwealth, Possession, or District,” or a “manufacturer[] of firearms or bona fide dealer[.]” *See* 18 U.S.C. § 1715; APP.010 (Shreve Declaration ¶ 10).

15. Plaintiff Gun Owners of America, Inc. (“GOA”) is a California non-stock corporation with its principal place of business in Springfield, Virginia. GOA is organized and operated as a nonprofit membership organization that is exempt from federal income taxes under Section

501(c)(4) of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code. GOA was formed in 1976 to preserve and defend the Second Amendment rights of gun owners. GOA has more than two million members and supporters across the country, including residents of this district. APP.004 to APP.005 (Declaration of Val W. Finnell, ECF No. 1-1 (“Finnell Declaration”) ¶ 4).

16. Plaintiff Gun Owners Foundation (“GOF”) is a Virginia non-stock corporation with its principal place of business in Springfield, Virginia. GOF was formed in 1983 and is organized and operated as a nonprofit legal defense and educational foundation that is exempt from federal income taxes under Section 501(c)(3) of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code. GOF is supported by gun owners across the country, including Pennsylvania residents, who fund the organization’s activities. GOF relies on donations from its supporters to litigate and defend its supporters’ rights to keep and bear arms. APP.005 (Finnell Declaration ¶ 5).

17. GOF receives all its funding from its supporters, who voluntarily fund its activities. GOF litigates cases throughout the country on behalf of its supporters. GOF’s supporters receive information about its activities through a quarterly newsletter and regular emails about its activities. GOF’s supporters regularly communicate their views to GOF about issues on which GOF should focus. APP.003 (Complaint, ECF No. 1, ¶ 35).

18. Like Plaintiff Shreve, GOA and GOF’s members and supporters, including those in this district, wish to use the U.S. Postal Service to mail their lawfully owned handguns to themselves and to others for private, lawful purposes, and to receive the same, but are prohibited from doing so under 18 U.S.C. § 1715 and U.S. Postal Service Publication 52. APP.005 to APP.006 (Finnell Declaration ¶¶ 6, 11, 13).

19. For example, one Pennsylvania GOA member owns a firearm training academy. This member often travels interstate to attend various firearm training courses. They often drive to

these out-of-state courses with their handgun, because they do not wish to fly with their handgun and risk theft of the handgun while it is in airline custody. This member would fly to their interstate training destinations, rather than drive, if they were able to simply mail their handgun to themselves at their ultimate destination. APP.006 to APP.007 (Finnell Declaration ¶ 14).

20. Defendant U.S. Postal Service (“USPS”) is the agency responsible for “investigat[ing] postal offenses ... relating to the Postal Service,” including the general prohibition on the mailing of handguns challenged here. 39 U.S.C. § 404(a)(6).

21. Postmaster General of the United States, Defendant David Steiner, is responsible for overseeing USPS’s enforcement of the general prohibition on the mailing of handguns challenged here. 39 U.S.C. § 203; 39 C.F.R. § 222.1(a). He was automatically substituted as a Defendant upon taking office following the commencement of this litigation. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 25(d).

22. Defendant U.S. Postal Inspection Service (“USPIS”) is responsible for “the enforcement of laws regarding property in the custody of the Postal Service, property of the Postal Service, the use of the mails, and other postal offenses.” 18 U.S.C. § 3061(b)(1); *see also* 39 C.F.R. § 233.1.

23. Chief Postal Inspector of USPIS, Defendant Gary Barksdale, is responsible for overseeing its operations, including the enforcement of the general prohibition on the mailing of handguns challenged here. *See* 39 U.S.C. § 204; 39 C.F.R. §§ 4.5, 230.1, 233.1.

24. Defendant U.S. Department of Justice is the agency responsible for enforcing federal criminal laws, including the general prohibition on the mailing of handguns challenged here. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 533.

25. GOA member Arthur Zimmerman is the father of Plaintiff Bonita Shreve and lives in Palmyra, Pennsylvania. *See* Supplemental Declaration of Val W. Finnell at ¶ 4, Exhibit 1. APP. 012.

26. Mr. Zimmerman “wishes to receive, obtain, and possess the handgun that his daughter, Plaintiff Shreve, intends to gift to him and ... ship through the U.S. Postal Service.” *Id.* at ¶ 4. APP.012.

27. Mr. Zimmerman would also “mail a handgun to himself via the United States Postal Service when he travels to property that he owns in Texas” instead of “checking the firearm with his airline.” APP.012 at ¶ 5.

Dated: December 18, 2025

/s/ Oliver M. Krawczyk
Gilbert J. Ambler (PA 326124)
Oliver M. Krawczyk (PA 334423)
AMBLER LAW OFFICES, LLC
115 South Hanover Street, Suite 100
Carlisle, PA 17013
T: (717) 525-5822
F: (540) 773-2414
gilbert@amblerlawoffices.com
oliver@amblerlawoffices.com

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Stephen D. Stamboulieh
Stephen D. Stamboulieh (MS 102784)
STAMBOULIEH LAW, PLLC
P.O. Box 428
Olive Branch, MS 38654
T: (601) 852-3440
stephen@sdsllaw.us

Attorneys for Plaintiffs